

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

In re Application of: Jean-Michel COMBE	)	Confirmation No.: 9253
Serial No.: 10/587,036	)	Examiner: N.A.
PAIR indicated Filing Date: 07-24-2006	)	Group No.: 2853
LA Filing Date: 01-25-2005	)	HP Docket No.: 200207060-3
Priority Date: 01-30-2004	)	
For: USE OF PHYSICAL MEDIA HAVING	)	
THE SAME POSITION-IDENTIFYING	)	
PATTERN IN DIGITAL	)	
DOCUMENTATION PRODUCTION	)	

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**  
**Commissioner for Patents**  
**P.O. Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF MISSING PARTS DATED JULY 8, 2008**

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully submits the requested Declaration from the inventor in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497. The delay in obtaining this document was unintentional and unavoidable as the inventor had left Hewlett Packard and contact information was determined to be incorrect. A two (2) month extension of time is hereby requested in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a), the fee for which is authorized to be deducted from deposit account 08-2025, referencing the Attorney docket number 200207060-3.


As to the issue of outstanding fees in the amount of \$2440, it would appear that there is some confusion. The application as submitted on 7-24-2006 provided claims totaling 20, with independent claims 1, 13 and 19. The transmittal did not indicate any claim for small entity status. These documents are self evident in PAIR, and a copy of the claims as retrieved from PAIR is submitted herewith for comparison. Moreover, there are no multiple dependent claims, there are no claims in excess of twenty (20), there are no

independent claim over three (3) and Applicant has not claimed small entity status. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that no additional fees are due beyond the two (2) month extension for submission of the Declaration submitted herewith.

It is believed that all of the pending issues in the notice of missing parts have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

Applicant believes that no fees other than the two (2) month extension are currently due; however, should any fee be deemed necessary in connection with this Response to Notice of Missing Parts, the Commissioner is authorized to charge deposit account 08-2025, referencing the Attorney docket number 200207060-3.

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Daniel W. Roberts, Reg. No. 52,172  
Law Offices of Daniel W. Roberts  
904 Topaz Street  
Superior, Colorado 80027  
Telephone: (720) 304-3026